1 2	Teresa M. Corbin (SBN 132360) Denise M. De Mory (SBN 168076) Jaclyn C. Fink (SBN 217913)	
3	HOWREY LLP 525 Market Street, Suite 3600	
4	San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 848-4900 Facsimile: (415) 848-4999	
5	` '	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff SYNOPSYS, INC. and for Defendants AEROFLEX INCORPORATED,	
7	AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD., MATROX	
8	GRAPHICS, INC., MATROX INTERNATIONAL CORP., MATROX TECH, INC. and AEROFLEX COLORADO SPRINGS, INC.	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
12	SAN FRANCI	SCO DIVISION
13	DICOH COMPANY I TO	
14	RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,	Case No. C03-04669 MJJ (EMC)
15	Plaintiff,	Case No. C03-2289 MJJ (EMC)
16	VS.	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
17	AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, et al.,	PLAINTIFF SYNOPSYS' AND CUSTOMER DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY
18	Defendants.	LITIGATION PENDING COMPLETION OF REEXAMINATION
19		
20		
21	SYNOPSYS, INC.,	Judge: Hon. Martin J. Jenkins
22	Plaintiff,	Date: April 4, 2006 Time: 9:00 a.m.
23	VS.	Ctrm: 11, 19th Floor
24	RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,	
25	Defendant.	
26		
27		
28		
HOWREY LLP		
	Case Nos. C03-4669 MJJ (EMC) and C03-2289 MJJ (EMC) [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO STAY	

1	On February 28, 2006, Plaintiff Synopsys and Defendants Aeroflex Incorporated, AMI		
2	Semiconductor, Inc., Matrox Electronic Systems, Ltd., Matrox Graphics, Inc., Matrox International		
3	Corp., Matrox Tech, Inc., and Aeroflex Colorado Springs, Inc. (collectively the "Customer		
4	Defendants") filed a Motion To Stay Litigation Pending Completion of Reexamination. The matter,		
5	having been fully briefed, came before the Court on April 4, 2006.		
6	The motion, the memoranda, and supporting evidence of the parties having been considered,		
7	and oral argument having been heard, the Court GRANTS Synopsys' and the Customer Defendants'		
8	Motion To Stay Litigation Pending Completion of Reexamination.		
9			
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
11			
12	DATED: The Honorable Martin J. Jenkins		
13	United States District Court Judge		
14			
15	Submitted February 28, 2006 by: HOWREY LLP		
16			
17	By:/s/Denise M. De Mory		
18	Denise M. De Mory		
19	Attorneys for Plaintiff SYNOPSYS and Defendants AEROFLEX		
20	INCORPORATED, AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX		
21	ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD., MATROX GRAPHICS INC., MATROX INTERNATIONAL CORP., MATROX		
22	TECH, INC., and AEROFLEX COLORADO SPRINGS, INC.		
23	COLORADO SPRINGS, INC.		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
HOWREY LLP	Case Nos. C03-4669 MJJ (EMC) and C03-2289 MJJ (EMC) [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO STAY		